

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,308	12/06/2001	Kin Doc	ADAPP201A	2794	
25920 75	7590 05/24/2006		EXAMINER		
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			CHAI, LO	CHAI, LONGBIT	
710 LAKEWA SUITE 200	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/006,308	DOE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Longbit Chai	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)□	 ✓ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u>. ✓ This action is FINAL. ✓ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 12-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 12-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. re: a) accepted or b) objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Original application contained claims 1 – 20. Presently, pending claims are 1 –
 9, 10 and 12 – 22.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/8/2006 with respect to instant claims have been fully considered and, as a result, the finality of a rejection is withdrawn in order to apply a new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 9, 16 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), and in view of Vu et al. (U.S. Patent 6557104).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 1, Tsuria teaches an apparatus to enable operation of a computer by authorized users when in a secure mode of operation, the apparatus comprising:

a hub, the hub being configured to be portable and in communication with the computer (Tsuria: Column 6 Line 57 – 62 and Figure 1: IRD (Integrated Receiver-Decoder) is interpreted as the hub) the hub further including,

an installed system tray program configured to allow customization of hub features; a card reader (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 1 – 14);

a hub microprocessor (Tsuria: Figure 1)

an encryption engine configured to encrypt / decrypt data communications between the hub and a data storage device protected by the hub (Tsuria: Column 6 Line56 – Column 7 Line 57) including:

a plurality of encryption/decryption channels (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 66 – Column 10 Line 40 and Figure 1);

Tsuria teaches an encryption channel and decryption channel can be simultaneously passed through the hub device (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 66 – Column 10 Line 40 and Figure 1). However, Tsuria does not disclose expressly a control logic that is configured to determine which encryption / decryption channel is available and direct encrypted data passing through the hub available encryption / decryption channel.

Anand teaches a control logic that is configured to determine which encryption / decryption channel is available and direct encrypted data passing through the hub available encryption / decryption channel (Anand: Figure 7 & 2, Abstract Line 9 – 15, Para [0058] Last sentence and Para [0122]).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,308

Art Unit: 2131

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Anand within the system of Tsuria because Anand teaches improving processor efficiency of a network device by a cryptographic processor that uses multiple independent channels and supports pipelining for efficient use of processor (Anand: Para [0012]).

a card, the card being configured for insertion into the card reader, the card including a card microprocessor (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 1 – 14 & Figure 1 / Element 120); and

However, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly a user authentication device, the user authentication device being configured to validate the user as an authorized user of the card wherein, if the user is validated as the authorized user, the card microprocessor being configured to pass a key to the hub microprocessor in response to the validation of the user as the authorized user of the card, thereby activating the encryption engine of the hub to allow encryption / decryption of data communications.

Vu teaches a user authentication device, the user authentication device being configured to validate the user as an authorized user of the card wherein, if the user is validated as the authorized user, the card microprocessor being configured to pass a key to the hub microprocessor in response to the validation of the user as the authorized user of the card (Vu: Column 4 Line 52 - 54), thereby activating the encryption engine of the hub to operate in the secure mode of operation (Vu: Column 5 Line 24 - 46).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Vu within the system of Tsuria as modified because Vu teaches providing secure storage and processing of cryptographic keys using in a more reliable secure processor mode / memory (Vu: Column 2 Line 53 – 61).

As per claim 16, claim 16 encompasses the scope at least as described in claim 1 and besides that, in further regards to claim 1, Tsuria as modified further teaches upon the insertion of the smart card into the card reader, a secure path is established between the smart card microprocessor and the ECD microprocessor after completion of authentication of a user and completion of a challenge/response protocol, thereby unlocking an encryption engine to allow encryption / decryption of encrypted data communications (Vu: Column 1 Line 38 – 48).

As per claim 7, Tsuria as modified teaches the card microprocessor includes a cryptographic microprocessor (Vu: Column 2 Line 1 – 25).

As per claim 9, Tsuria as modified teaches the hub includes control switches to bypass the hub to operate the computer in a non-secure mode of operation (Vu: Column 2 Line 53 – 61: control switches is a logical switches between the process of secure and non-secure operating modes).

As per claim 17, Tsuria as modified teaches the ECD includes the data storage medium (Vu: Column 5 Line 35 – 36).

As per claim 18, Tsuria as modified teaches the data storage medium is a virtual drive of the computer (Vu: Column 1 Line 35 – 40).

As per claim 19, Tsuria as modified teaches the continued presence of a user is monitored (Vu: Column 6 Line 28 – 30: PIN may be continued to be requested at different stages of oprations).

As per claim 21, Tsuria as modified teaches the ECD is configured to effectuate modifying of encrypted data (Vu: Column 6 Line 28 – 30: Authentication and validation of a user are required to unlock the cryptographic key of the system device in order for the user to gain access to the encryption/decryption functionality, which includes create, modify or copy encrypted data).

As per claim 22, Tsuria as modified teaches the ECD includes an installed memory tray program configured to allow customization of ECD features (Vu: Column 2 Line 53 – 61 and Column 6 Line 28 – 30: configurable to allow for user customization to the level of security desired by the user such as secure and non-secure mode through the user entry of PIN).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,308

Art Unit: 2131

4. Claims 1, 5 – 6, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), and in view of Veil et al. (U.S. Patent 6092202).

As per claim 1, Tsuria teaches an apparatus to enable operation of a computer by authorized users when in a secure mode of operation, the apparatus comprising:

a hub, the hub being configured to be portable and in communication with the computer (Tsuria: Column 6 Line 57 – 62 and Figure 1: IRD (Integrated Receiver-Decoder) is interpreted as the hub) the hub further including,

an installed system tray program configured to allow customization of hub features; a card reader (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 1 – 14);

a hub microprocessor (Tsuria: Figure 1)

an encryption engine configured to encrypt / decrypt data communications between the hub and a data storage device protected by the hub (Tsuria: Column 6 Line56 – Column 7 Line 57) including:

a plurality of encryption/decryption channels (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 66 – Column 10 Line 40 and Figure 1);

Tsuria teaches an encryption channel and decryption channel can be simultaneously passed through the hub device (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 66 – Column 10 Line 40 and Figure 1). However, Tsuria does not disclose expressly a control logic that is configured to determine which encryption / decryption channel is available and direct encrypted data passing through the hub available encryption / decryption channel.

Art Unit: 2131

Anand teaches a control logic that is configured to determine which encryption / decryption channel is available and direct encrypted data passing through the hub available encryption / decryption channel (Anand: Figure 7 & 2, Abstract Line 9 – 15, Para [0058] Last sentence and Para [0122]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Anand within the system of Tsuria because Anand teaches improving processor efficiency of a network device by a cryptographic processor that uses multiple independent channels and supports pipelining for efficient use of processor (Anand: Para [0012]).

a card, the card being configured for insertion into the card reader, the card including a card microprocessor (Tsuria: Column 9 Line 1 – 14 & Figure 1 / Element 120); and

However, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly a user authentication device, the user authentication device being configured to validate the user as an authorized user of the card wherein, if the user is validated as the authorized user, the card microprocessor being configured to pass a key to the hub microprocessor in response to the validation of the user as the authorized user of the card, thereby activating the encryption engine of the hub to allow encryption / decryption of data communications.

Veil teaches a user authentication device, the user authentication device being configured to validate the user as an authorized user of the card wherein, if the user is validated as the authorized user, the card microprocessor being configured to pass a

key to the hub microprocessor in response to the validation of the user as the authorized user of the card, thereby activating the encryption engine of the hub to operate in the secure mode of operation (Veil: Column 12 Line 4 - 12).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Veil within the system of Tsuria as modified because Veil teaches providing secure transaction of computer systems in a more reliable, easier implementation and cost effective manners (Veil: Column 1 Line 5 – 20 and Column 3 Line 30 – 36).

As per claim 10, claim 10 encompasses the scope at least as described in claim 1 and besides that, in further regards to claim 1, Tsuria as modified further teaches the card being adapted to be read by the card reader to validate a user as an authorized owner of the card in conjunction with the biometric identifier, wherein upon validation of the user, the encryption engine activates to create a secure environment (Veil: Column 12 Line 4-12).

As per claim 5, Tsuria as modified teaches the user authentication device is a biometric scanner (Veil: Column 12 Line 4 - 12).

As per claim 6, Tsuria as modified teaches the biometric scanner scans one of a fingerprint, an iris and a face (Veil: Column 12 Line 4 – 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,308

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 12, Tsuria as modified teaches the encryption engine executes RSA public-key cryptosystem (Veil: Column 4 Line 46 – 55).

5. Claims 2 – 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), in view of Vu et al. (U.S. Patent 6557104), and in view of Morais et al. (U.S. Patent 2003/0093669).

As per claim 2, Tsuria as modified does not teach the hub includes a plurality of USB ports.

Morais teaches the hub includes a plurality of USB ports (Morais: Para [0033] and Para [0036]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Morais within the system of Tsuria as modified because Morais teaches establishing secure communications between computer systems connected in a networking environment (Morais: Para [0001]).

As per claim 3, Tsuria as modified does not teach the hub includes a plurality of FIREWIRE ports.

Morais teaches the hub includes a plurality of FIREWIRE ports (Morais: Para [0033] and Para [0036]).

Same rationale of combination applies herein as above in rejecting the claim 2.

As per claim 4, Tsuria as modified does not teach the computer is connected to the hub through one of a USB or FIREWIRE interface.

Morais teaches the computer is connected to the hub through one of a USB or FIREWIRE interface (Morais: Para [0033] and Para [0036]).

Same rationale of combination applies herein as above in rejecting the claim 2.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), in view of Veil et al. (U.S. Patent 6092202), in view of Lelong et al. (PN: 6463540).

As per claim 13, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly the encryption control device is hot pluggable.

Lelong teaches the encryption control device is hot pluggable (Lelong: Column 1 Line 52).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Lelong within the system of Tsuria as modified because Lelong teaches a more flexible and dynamic mechanism of an attachable interface of computer systems (Lelong: Column 1 Line 45 – 52).

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), in view of Veil et al. (U.S. Patent 6092202), in view of Walter et al. (U.S. Patent 6151677).

As per claim 14, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly the encryption engine is a field programmable gate array.

Walter teaches the encryption engine is a field programmable gate array (Walter: Column 3 Line 56 – 57).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Walter within the system of Tsuria as modified because Walter teaches providing a more flexible and secure method by using a programmable information security architecture with a firmware implemented data encryption and decryption algorithms (Walter: Column 3 Line 50 – 61).

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), in view of Veil et al. (U.S. Patent 6092202), in view of Vu et al. (PN: 6557104).

As per claim 15, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly the card includes a card microprocessor, the card microprocessor being configured to execute a challenge/response protocol for establishing a secure path through the encryption control device.

Vu teaches the card includes a card microprocessor (Vu: Column 2 Line 1-25), the card microprocessor being configured to execute a challenge/response protocol for establishing a secure path through the encryption control device (Vu: Column 1 Line 34 -46).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,308 Page 13

Art Unit: 2131

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Vu within the system of Tsuria as modified because Vu teaches an enhanced security system for secure processing of cryptographic keys (Vu: Column 1 Line 7 – 9).

9. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuria (U.S. Patent 6178242), in view of Anand et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0191793), in view of Vu et al. (U.S. Patent 6557104), and in view of Miller (PN: 6038320).

As per claim 20, Tsuria as modified does not disclose expressly the ECD is locked by a hot key sequence.

Miller teaches the ECD is locked by a hot key sequence (Miller: Column 1 Line 41 – 42).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Miller within the system of Tsuria as modified because Miller teaches a flexible and enhanced security method to securely protect the computer from unauthorized access (Miller: Column 1 Line 40 - 47).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

JBC IBC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100